

## **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Overall, I have received a reply to my preliminary hearing with is as cryptic as the evaluation in terms of quantification and specific criteria. By providing no information on how CV was exactly evaluated and which were the funded reasons for criticising the project, this evaluation does not only violate FCT rules and multiple laws but also does not show which aspects can be improved and in which way. In my personal case, it also seems that despite having being evaluated positively multiple times, obtaining multiple competitive projects, supervised granted thesis and even having the confidence of FCT for acting as a jury myself while my scientific outputs are higher than ever, now my whole scientific career is questioned. I have to say I am deeply disappointed not because of the adverse results for me but due to the whole procedure. I do not claim for a gift, Science is a competitive world, but I think I have the right of continue progressing and not being judged on the basis of either my output 20 year ago or some misconceptions about my project. In other words, I believe I deserve a better analysis of my proposal.